G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904.
( Madurai. )

# File No. 24

## Papers Filed.

*Month* August 1906

SUBJECT.

Alleged mis-appropriation of the Marula Siddeswara Swami temple Jewels by one Marula Sidda at Bendibere, Arsikere

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

# MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

## OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT:—

Alleged misappropriation of the Marula Siddeswara Swami temple Jewels by one Marula Sidda—

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 747 dated 11 April/05 form the Dy Commr., Hassan Dt.

2 Petitions dy 22/11/03 & 5/12/03 were received in this office from Maji Shanbhogue Siddappa of Bendalure, arsikere Taluk alleging that Jewels to the value of about Rs. 373/- belonging to the Marula Siddeswaraswami temple have been misappropriated by one Marula Sidda who had them in his custody.- These were forwarded to the Dy Comrs for report and

he

reports as follows :—

"The Petitioner states that according to an examination of temple Jewels in 1875 they were valued at Rs 775-5-0 and that there was a deficit of Rs 374-1-0 when the jewels were examined for a second time in 1892. The inventory of articles as per the first examination in 1875 is reported to be not forthcoming in the Taluk. But on recent examination of the Jewels the number nearly corresponded with the list of 1892 (which is reported to be in the Taluk records) except a slight change due to the old Jewels having been replaced by new ones made out of them. The present vahivatdar Narula Siddaiah's father is reported to have been looking after the affairs of the temple in 1875

Though the affairs of the temple have been under the management of the Counter petitioner Narula Siddaiah formerly for a long time it is admitted by the Counter-petitioner himself that no accounts are kept by them It is therefore not possible to ascertain

particulars of the additional Jewels &c. that might have been presented to the temple by votaries from time to time. The counter petitioner admits that some additional Jewels were presented to the temple - Under the foregoing circumstances it is not possible to conclude that counter petitioner misappropriated any portion of the temple property. The ~~Asst. Dis~~ Amildar has been directed to arrange for the proper maintenance of registers showing daily income and expenditure of the temple & to select & report the names of three respectable men for appointment as Dharmadarsis for the supervision of temple affairs.

Issue the letter recommended and an Endt. issued to the petitioner in terms of the D.C's letter?

26/4/05. Put up draft

26/4/05

Endorsed

25/4/05

Asst. Sub-

A draft is submitted for approval

27/4/05

319
30.4.05.

6311
2.5.05

The Senate has appd
the draft

7/5/05

2/5/05

ಸಂಪನ್ಮೂಲ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ - ಸಂಪನ್ಮೂಲ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಯ ಸಹಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ

ಶಾಲಾ ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರ ಸಹಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ

ದಿನಾಂಕ 22.11.1903

No 16693-11-03 Cp
Confine
D 1607. 07-3-1904

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 837
dated 22nd November 1903 received at the
Muz. Secretariat
~~Dewan's Office~~ from Major Shamlhopse
Siddappa of Bendekere Crishna
Taluk alleging that Jewels
to the value of Rs 373 nearly
belonging to the Kamala Siddeswara
Swamy temple there have been
misappropriated by one Kamala
Sidda who had them in his Custody
is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Commissioner
Hassan
~~Bangalore~~ District for
Enquiry and ~~Report~~
report.

By Order,

Bangalore,
Dec 2nd 1903.

~~Muzrai~~ Secretary
Muzrai Deptt.

Off
4/12

2/12/03

Letter no 837 dated 22nd Nov '03

re the jewels belonging to the

Marudu Sivakumara temple

Arisikare Taluk

---

may be forwarded to the

Treasury for enquiry and

disposal report

Submitted with a draft

2/12/03

2/12

1820 En 1 of 1903
Dy 20/12/03

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 964
dated 5.12.1903 received at the
Dewan's Office from Manji Shambhoga Siddappa
of Bendakere, Arsikere Taluk
re the misappropriation of the
Marula Siddeswara Swami
temple jewels &c by one Marula
Sidda –

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy. Commissioner,
Hassan Distt in Contn
of this Office No. 1606 Dy 3d
November 1903; for
early report.

By Order,

24/12
Deputy Secretary
Muzrai Dept.

BANGALORE,
190

1821.
Ent to the Petitr.
24/12/3

MGCBP—500—19-6-02

1904-05 43

From

Atherab Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esq BA
Secretary to the Government
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Inam Department

Dated 11th April 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 747
No. S

Enclosure No. One

Reporting on the petition of Siddappa alleging that the jewels of Inamdar of Inam temple at Kendakere have been misappropriated

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3850.
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Rupees
Rs
17/4/05

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District
Dated 11th April 1905

From

P. R. D. Wetherall Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Mazrai Department
Bangalor

Sir,

Adverting to your official Memorandum No 1606 dated 2nd December 1903 calling for a report regarding the petition of Maji Shanbhogue Siddappa, of Bendakere, Arsikere Taluk, alleging that jewels to the value of nearly Rs 373/- belonging to the Mamula Siddeswara Swami temple at Bendakere have been misappropriated by one Mamula Sidda

Who

who had them in his custody &c, I have the honour to state that the petitioner states that according to an examination of temple jewels in 1875 they were valued at Rs 775-5- and that there was a deficit of Rs 374-1- when the jewels were examined for a second time in 1892. The inventory of articles as per the first examination in 1875 is reported to be not forthcoming in the Taluk. But on recent examination of the jewels the number nearly corresponded with the list of 1892 (which is reported to be in the Taluk records) except a slight change due to the old jewels having been replaced by new ones made out of them. The present Vathivatalar Gnanula Siddar's father is reported to have been looking after the affairs of the temple in 1875.

Though the affairs of the temple have been under the management of the Counter petitioner Gnanula Siddar,

family for a long time, it is admitted by the counter-petitioner himself that no accounts are kept by them. It is therefor not possible to ascertain particulars of the additional jewels that might have been presented to the temple by votaries from time to time. The counterpetitioner admits that some additional jewels were presented to the temple

Under the foregoing circumstances it is not possible to conclude that counter-petitioner had misappropriated any portion of the temple property. I have directed the Committee to arrange for the proper maintenance of registers showing daily income and expenditure of the temple and to direct me about the names of three respectable persons who may be recommended for appointment as Dharmakarthis for supervision of the temple affairs.

The petition received with your official communication is herewith returned

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
M. D. Ramaswamy Iyer.
For Commissioner

Mudrai 914

Read:— Petitions dated 22nd
nov and 5th December 1903
from Maja Shanbhogue
Siddappa of Bendakere,
Arsikere Taluk alleging
that Jewels to the value of
about Rs 373 belonging to
the Marula Siddeswara-
-swamy temple have been
misappropriated by one
Marula Sidda who had
them in his custody.

Letter no 747 dated
11th April 1905, from the
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan Dt reporting on the
above

No 4160
D/10.5.05.

6366
Recd from Dept 4.5.05
Issued 4/5/05

Order thereon—No.

The Deputy Commissioner
states that according to an
examination made in 1875
the temple Jewels were
valued at Rs 775-5-0,
that there was a deficit of
Rs 374-1-0 when the Jewels
were

examined for a second time
in 1892, that the inventory of
the articles as per first examination in 1875 is however
not forthcoming in the Taluk
and that on recent examination
of the Jewels, the number
nearly corresponded with
the list of 1892 (which is
reported to be in the
Taluk records) except
slight changes due to the
old Jewels having been
replaced by new ones made
out of them. The present
Vahivatdar Marula
Siddai's father is reported
to have looked after the
affairs of the temple in
1875. Though the affairs
of the temple have been
under the management
of the Counter-petitioner
Marula Siddai's family
for a long time, it is
admitted by himself
that no accounts are kept.
The Dy: Commr reports
therefore that it is not
possible to ascertain
particulars

of the additional J
that might have been
presented to the temple
by votaries from time to
time and that on the
mere admission of the
counter-petitioners that
some additional jewels
were presented to the temple
it is not possible to conclude
that he misappropriated
any portion of them.

Under the circumstances
explained above, the
Govt. are pleased to direct
that the petition of Inaji
~~Shet~~ Shanbhogue Siddappa
be rejected.

The Dy: Commr further
reports that the Amildar
has been directed to
arrange for the proper
maintenance of registers
showing daily income
and expenditure of the
temple and to select &
report the names of three
respectable men for
appointment as Dharma-

— darsis

for the supervision of
temple affairs. A
further report on these
points is awaited -

Dft

Secretary
Inam: Deptt

To The Deputy Commissioner
No. 4352 Hassan Dt.
23.5.05.
Endorsement to the Petn

4352
23.5.05

శ్రీనివాసులశ్రీ వీరేశలింగం
పంతులుగారి పేర్ల పింఛను మంజూరు
చేయుట గురించి

ఆంధ్రదేశములోని పండితులలోను కవులలోను
గద్య రచనలోను ప్రసంగములోను సంస్కరణ
ప్రవృత్తిలోను అగ్రగణ్యులుగా ప్రసిద్ధులైన
శ్రీ కందుకూరి వీరేశలింగం పంతులుగారు
తమ 71 వ ఏట ప్రస్తుత పరిస్థితులలో
ఆర్థిక ఇబ్బందులలో పడి ఉన్నారని
తెలిసి చాలా విచారించవలసిన విషయము.
ఈ మహనీయుడు తెలుగు వారందరికీ
ఎంతో ఋణపడి ఉన్నారు. ఈ మహనీయుడు
తమ జీవితమంతా తెలుగు వారి అభ్యుదయమునకు
ధారపోసిన వారు. ఈ మహనీయుడు
తమ జీవితమంతా తెలుగు వారి అభ్యుదయమునకు
ధారపోసిన వారు. ఈ మహనీయుడు
తమ జీవితమంతా తెలుగు వారి అభ్యుదయమునకు
ధారపోసిన వారు. ఈ మహనీయుడు

[illegible]

By order
17/5/05
Secretary to Govt
Industries Department

17/5/05

Muz. 5]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

**190**

*From*

*The Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.*

*To*

The Dy. Commissioner Hassan District

*Dated* 25th August 06

*Despatched*

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.* 1338
*M. No.*

Govt. Pro

This Office No. K 160 dated 10th May 1906, directing the submission of a report in re the maintenance of accounts of the Marula Siddeswara swami temple etc and subsequent reminder marginally noted at Bendekere in the Arsikere Taluk.

---

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request to be informed as to what action has been taken in the matter and when a reply may be expected.

By Order,

Dd) 23/8/06

*Secretary,*
*Muzrai Department.*

21/8/06